GARDEPHE

145 EAST 23RD STREET APT. 4R 18 CV 4496
NEW YORK, NY 10010 1 NEW YORK, NY 10010 646-434-8513 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIAN BURKE, Plaintiff, Case#

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION vs. JURY TRIAL DEMAND

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS,

INC., HOUSING & SERVICES, 14

INC., KENMORE HOUSING 15

16 DEVELOPMENT FUND

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

24

28

CORPORATION, KENMORE

19 HOUSING CORPORATION,

KENMORE ASSOCIATES, L.P., 21

NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT 22

23 AUTHORITY, NEW YORK CITY

HEALTH & HOSPITALS 25

26 CORPORATION (BELLEVUE), NEW

27

YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, NEW YORK CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT, RYAN CAMIRE L.C.S.W., CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION LOCAL 100, MADELINE O'Brien, M.D., JOHN/JANE DOE, ET AL., Respondents

INTRODUCTION

I declare, certify, verify, and state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on MONDAY, May 21, 2018

This is an action to remedy the rights of Brian Burke, a 17^1 year employee of New York City Transit and

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

¹ It is acknowledged that NYCTA sent plaintiff a strictly Retaliatory (due to the injury defendants caused and Whistle-Blowing/Protected Activity) "termination from probation" letter in May 2016 in violation of Contract, Civil Service Law and Precedent before Contract Arbitrator and EDNY Judge, using a

28+ year Tenant of 145 East 23rd Street apt. 4R NY, NY, 1 2 Patient of Bellevue Hospital (under World Trade Center 3 Health Program), etc., under violations of 42 U.S. Code 4 5 § 1983, Federal and New York Civil R.I.C.O., 6 Defamation, Defamation per se, (intentional/negligent) 7 8 Medical Malpractice, Fraud, Theft, Tortious 9 Interference With Prospective Economic Advantage, 10 Federal, NY State, NYC False Claims Act(s), New York 11 12 City/State Human Rights Law(s), Retaliatory Termination 13 and Retaliatory Attempted Eviction, NY State Civil 14 15 Service Law, HIPAA, Americans with Disabilities Act, 16 ongoing NYCTA/TWU Local 100 Employment Contract 17 Violations, and/or Conspiracy to Commit same, etc., but 18 19 not limited to. 20 21

JURISDICTION & VENUE

This Court has Jurisdiction pursuant to the following Statutes; 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1343 and 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Venue is appropriate in this

Forged/False Instrument for Filing without Agreement Consideration or Performance.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

judicial district as the events that gave rise to this Complaint occurred in this district.

JURY DEMAND

A jury trial is demanded under the Seventh

Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and

Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

PARTIES

Plaintiff is a 56 year old citizen of the United States, 17 year Train Operator/Station Agent for the New York City Transit Authority (hereon in NYCTA). He has resided in New York County, New York for over thirty years, has never been arrested or charged with any crime and has been regularly and randomly drug and alcohol tested as recently as 03/11/2015.

Qui facit per alium facit per se

NYCTA is the employer at issue. NYCTA is a public entity pursuant to 42 U.S.C \$12131, etc. NYCTA resides at 2 Broadway, New York, NY within this Court's Jurisdiction. NYCTA receives federal funds.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., HOUSING & SERVICES,
INC., KENMORE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND CORPORATION,
KENMORE HOUSING CORPORATION, KENMORE ASSOCIATES, L.P.
(hereon in Verizon Defendants, or Verizon) are the
putative owners (see DOB website and attached 990 page)
of 145 East 23rd Street, New York, NY 10010 (a.k.a. 143147). It is acknowledged that Petitioner has, and does,
contend that the alleged, no consideration, 'transfer'
of deed, from The People of the United States to
Verizon, etc. was/is null and void for violating
federal statute requiring an auction, and lack of
correct notarization of alleged signature of deed, if
that was the proper person to perform said (illegal)
transfer (by a Mr. Burke who is not Plaintiff).

The New York City Police Department (NYPD), Fire Department(FDNY), Health & Hospitals Corporation (H&H or Bellevue), City University of New York (CUNY), Ryan Camire, Licensed Clinical Social Worker (in February 2014 at Bellevue Mobile Crisis Unit, now CUNY), Dr. Madeline O'Brien, M.D. (previously Bellevue, now

Lincoln Hospital), et al., were complicit, to varying degree, with NYCTA and Verizon in the Depravation of Rights Under Color of Law, Retaliatory, unlawful, contract violating termination, Defamation, Medical Malpractice, etc., causing proven injury to Plaintiff, threatening unlawful Eviction/Removal from lawful rent stabilized home of 28+ years (under Color of Law).

11

DEPRAVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

12 13

14

15

1. On December 7, 1989, Petitioner moved into 145 East 23rd Street, as a lawful permanent tenant, with the assistance of MFY Legal Services (now MFJ). The property was at the time considered an SRO controlled by NYS Rent Stabilization Law.

In approx. 1991 Tenant Brian Burke and

Landlord/Shell Company (Jude Corporation,

suspected of Heroin/Opium Trafficking in

South East Asia during Vietnam Conflict and

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2.

23

2425

26

27

28

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

JURY TRIAL DEMAND - 6

owned in whole by Trường Đình Trần,

after) appeared in NYC Housing Court over alleged 'non-payment' and actual Warranty of Habitability and Diminution of Services.

Tenant was prevailing party, and, on Information and Belief, added to serial "Blacklists" see

https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/17/nyregion/

https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/17/nyregion/
new-york-housing-tenant-blacklist.html and
https://www.npr.org/2014/12/14/367833532/ten
ant-blacklist-can-haunt-new-york-rentersfor-years

In 1994 the Federal Government necessarily assumed control/title of property, due to criminal activity/hazardous conditions known/initiated by Mr. Tran. See UNITED STATES of America v. ALL RIGHT, TITLE AND INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY AND APPURTENANCES,

thereto known as 143-147 East 23rd Street, New York, New York, Listed as Block 879, Lot 27, which

 includes the Kenmore Hotel, Defendants, Jude Hotel
Corporation, Claimant- Appellant.77 F. 3d 648

- 4. Management of subject property was lawfully undertaken by U.S. Marshal's Service and Managing Agent Esquire Management. Many tenants engaged in crime, harassment, etc., were evicted, along with (former) owner. Plaintiff, who was never charged with, accused of, or engaged in unlawful conduct, (has never been arrested through today), remained a tenant in good standing.
- 5. Kenmore Housing Development Fund Corporation was established. Normally, an HDFC² is founded to allow the existing tenants to purchase their apartment or 'Co-op' the building, in the interest of tenants.

 Instead a secret (illegal) guid-pro-quo (on

² "Through a "tenant petition" process, residents are able to choose whether to remain renters or become owners." https://www.habitatmag.com/Publication-Content/2008/2008-June/Featured-Articles-from-Our-Print-Magazine/HDFC-Low-Income-Affordable-Co-ops, not done.

information and belief) was performed illegally transferring subject property to the wealthiest Corporation in New York, Verizon. Verizon paid nothing for the property and allegedly put in 8 figures for a Major Capital Improvement that was never registered or properly permitted or licensed. Those 8 figures were immediately 'deeded' back to Verizon as a dubious 'tax credit' and the money itself mostly stolen by H&S, Inc. principles (including convicted Drug Trafficker Larry Oaks).

6. It is acknowledged that Verizon Corporation,
Inc. hired Housing & Services, Inc. to act
as their³ 'Managing Agent', presumably
subject to removal for malfeasance,
misconduct or perhaps no reason at all.

³ Verizon owns, undisputed, 99.9%[sic] of listed shell 'owner' (no employees, etc.) Kenmore Associates, L.P., i.e. Verizon is the definitive 'Beneficial Owner' as a matter of law, i.e. actual 'Landlord' (until deed transfer is made null and void).

'Managing Agent' Housing & Services, Inc.
has continuously engaged in said willful,
intentional (with scienter) unlawful,
harmful, dangerous, fraudulent, etc.,
misconduct since. Petitioner has previously
attempted to inform the 'Beneficial Owner'
(Verizon CEO, etc.) via email, snail mail,
phone, etc., of this malfeasance.

- 7. Plaintiff acknowledges engaging in litigation with Verizon's Shell Company for most of this century. Petitioner, pro se, was the prevailing party, including before a jury, and in a sealed (NDA) Federal case.
- 8. In retaliation for Plaintiff attempting to contact/inform the listed 'Beneficial Owner'

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

⁴ Section 1983 is an important means of redress for constitutional violations committed not only by state government officials, but also by non-state actors, such as private individuals and federal officials. Indeed, the statute is known as the "Ku Klux Klan Act" because one of its primary purposes was to provide a civil remedy against abuses that were being committed in southern states during the Reconstruction era, especially by private organizations such as the Ku Klux Klan. See Monroe v. Pape, 365 U.S. 167, 174-76 (1961). The Supreme Court has consistently held that non-state actors can, under

of the subject property of criminal activity
by their employees/contractees (H&S,I),

Verizon, via employee of sub-agent H&S,I

Francesca Rossi L.C.S.W., ordered/instructed

Bellevue Hospital Mobile Crisis Unit to

perform witting, intentional

Defamation/Defamation per se/Medical

Malpractice (which was done) and attempted

to have Plaintiff removed from home without

court order, cause, probable cause (in a

corrupt misuse/attempted

malpractice/maladaptation of NYS Mental

Hygiene Law/Practice (see Adrian

certain circumstances, engage in conduct under "color of State law," and may be subject to liability under section 1983 where they "act jointly" or conspire with state government officials. See, e.g., Brentwood Acad. v. Tenn. Secondary Sch. Ath. Ass'n, 531 U.S. 288, 296 (2001); Tower v. Glover, 467 U.S. 914, 919 (1984); Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24, 27 (1980); cf. United States v. Price, 383 U.S. 787, 794 (1966) (holding that, for purposes of finding liability under the criminal law analogue of section 1983, 18 U.S.C. § 242, private individuals acting jointly with state officers engage in conduct "under color" of state law)

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

9.

3

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Schoolcraft, v. City of New York, et al., 10
Civ. 6005 (RWS)), see also First Amendment.

On February 7, 2014⁵ at approximately 10-1030am Mr. Ryan Camire, LCSW knocked on Petitioner's door (4R) while he was preparing for work (as a Safety Sensitive Civil Servant/Train Operator). There was no prior (or to this day) call, email, letter, appointment, requirement, need, etc., from the Bellevue Mobil Crisis Unit. Apparently, the first words I stated were "I do not require your services". Of course, as petitioner will show, "your" (i.e. Ryan Camire/Bellevue Mobile Crisis Unit) services, in violation of Hippocratic Oath/Medical Ethics (on Information and Belief Mr. Camire (and Ms. Rossi), are licensed medical professionals bound by

⁵ Petitioner will request the august District Court 'Toll' the acknowledged Statute of Limitations for both Medical Malpractice and Defamation/Defamation per se under Disclosure Rule and relevant case law.

24

25

26

27

28

same) were performed only for Verizon Defendants to establish (unlawful, Due Process Clause Violating) "probable cause" to kidnap/remove Tenant/Civil Servant in order to (illegally) evict/terminate from employment. Nevertheless, familiar with Adrian Schoolcraft case (and others), Petitioner recorded a brief "evaluation" with Mr. Camire, in order not to be removed/tased/arrested/drugged that day, etc., for this clearly malicious, unwarranted, retaliatory (for Protected Activity) 'swatting6'. While, prior to Discovery, Petitioner cannot ascertain the exact relationship between Ms. Rossi, LCSW and Mr. Camire, LCSW ('dating'?. Friends, former students), it is clear Mr. Camire put his (and yes Ms. Rossi's) license, employment

 $^{^6}$ https://www.cnn.com/2018/04/13/us/police-no-charges-swatting-death/index.html

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and liability on the line. Clearly conferring before, during and after the 'evaluation' with his actual client/'patient' Ms. Rossi, he proceeded to commit DELIBERATE MALPRACTICE/DEFAMATION (PER SE) in order to 'do a solid' in helping to strip this law abiding Tenant/Civil Servant of ALL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS (see Defendant's favorite, and only case law on their behalf⁷). Petitioner has medical documents from Bellevue Hospital delineating

Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 (1857)" [Tenants/Civil Servants engaged in Protected Activity] had for more than a century before been regarded as beings of an inferior order, and altogether unfit to associate with the [Licensed Clinical Social Worker] race, either in social or political relations; and so far inferior, that they had no rights which the [LCSW/Landlord/Employer] man was bound to respect; and that the [Whistle-Blower] might justly and lawfully be reduced to slavery for his benefit. He was bought and sold, and treated as an ordinary article of merchandise and traffic, whenever a profit could be made by it. This opinion was at that time fixed and universal in the civilized portion of the [LCSW/Landlord/Verizon/NYCTA] race. It was regarded as an axiom in morals as well as in politics, which no one thought of disputing, or supposed to be open to dispute; and men in every grade and position in society daily and habitually acted upon it in their private pursuits, as well as in matters of public concern, without doubting for a moment the correctness of this opinion.

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

Medical Malpractice/Defamation, which wound up creating deliberate, successful
Interference with Prospective Economic
Advantage (defendants got plaintiff fired for Protected Activity). Petitioner will request this same Medical 'psychological'
Documentation be submitted under seal or heavily redacted, as per HIPAA, etc..

'diagnosis'/Defamation/Malpractice by Mr.

Camire/Ms. Rossi (apparently a
duet/collaboration) LCSW, and as required in

NYS Case Law Re: Defamation, within 11 page

"Bellevue Hospital Center Chart Review

Print": a) on page one "Consenting Party:

patient consents or involuntary treatment".

As suspected, at the time, if

Plaintiff/"Patient" did not consent it would
have ended in involuntary commitment UNDER

28

COLOR OF LAW. B) page 2 "WM with PPH of psychosis, delusions, 1 prior admission in his 20s" which, other than the WM (White Male) was perfectly false, without evidence or contradictory evidence, malicious, defamatory, defamatory per se, intentionally injurious, intentional malpractice, etc.. Petitioner notes the prior clause was false "employed as an MTA Train Conductor" (Petitioner was an NYCTA Train Operator) which, while in and of itself is not damaging goes to Mr Camire's indifference/prejudice/incompetence. The second page prominently references the undisputed attempt by Petitioner (Protected Activity) to "Petition the Government for redress of grievances" via email and Defendants claim this First Amendment Act grants them lawful jurisdiction to potentially deprive Tenant/Civil Servant of

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

all Civil Rights, under Color of Law, while acknowledging it contained NO THREAT TO ANYONE, only a lawful request Verizon refrain from their own escalating criminal conduct, admittedly informing government/elected officials of same (i.e. Whistle-Blowing⁸).

Defamation/Defamation per se/Medical
Malpractice on page 3: a) "Per records,

patient has 1 admission for psychosis when
he was in his 20s (Info provided by
sister)." Petitioner can only state, THIS IS

19 Sister)." Petitioner can only state, inis

⁸DIAGNOSTIC AND STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS FIFTH EDITION page 20 "A mental disorder is a syndrome characterized by clinically significant disturbance in an individual's cognition, emotion regulation, or behavior that reflects a dysfunction in the psychological, biological, or developmental processes underlying mental functioning. Mental disorders are usually associated with significant distress or disability in social, occupational, or other important activities. An expectable or culturally approved response to a common stressor or loss, such as the death of a loved one, is not a mental disorder. Socially deviant behavior (e.g., political, religious, or sexual) and conflicts that are primarily between the individual and society are not mental disorders unless the deviance or conflict results from a dysfunction in the individual, as described above."[emphasis added]

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

27 28

26

BRUTALLY, INTENTIONALLY DAMAGINGLY FALSE!!!!!! In a phone conversation with Petitioners only Sister, this deliberate, defamatory falsehood was tricked out. She thought she was discussing her only son, who has been diagnosed on Autism Spectrum, and not Plaintiff, for whom SHE HAS/HAD NO MEDICAL INFORMATION! This conversation violated HIPAA and was additionally intended to Divide and Rule this "patient" by creating intentional, malicious, interfamily friction. Petitioner was additionally the victim of Identity Theft by Verizon Employees and that ID was apparently used in 2000 for some individual treated for a Hernia (Petitioner had/has no Hernia and never was treated by Bellevue prior to 2016 WTC Health Program appointment).

12. On page 4. "disheveled" Petitioner had just woken up (working pm/evening shifts to 11pm)

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

in order to get required rest for safety sensitive occupation and prior to shower/dressing. And "appears unkempt and disheveled" (same answer). Under "Thought Content: Persecutory delusions, Paranoid ideation" and "Patient is very paranoid and believe [sic] he is persecuted from several areas." Mr. Camire LCSW, appears comically un-self-aware, that he is engaging in the very conduct (i.e. 'persecution'/Depravation of Civil Rights Under Color of Law (1983))) that would naturally engender being "very paranoid", see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Political abus e_of_psychiatry_in_the_Soviet_Union9 And the

22 23

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

⁹ The "anti-Soviet" political behavior of some individuals — being outspoken in their opposition to the authorities, demonstrating for reform, and writing critical books — were defined simultaneously as criminal acts (e.g., a violation of Articles 70 or 190-1), symptoms of mental illness (e.g., "delusion[emphasis added] of reformism"), and susceptible to a ready-made diagnosis (e.g., "sluggish schizophrenia"). Within the boundaries of the diagnostic category, the symptoms of pessimism, poor social adaptation and conflict with authorities

23

24

25

26

27

28

real reason for this terror "Impulsively sends emails to staff, public officials re: perceived mistreatment". Q.E.D. What does "Impulsively" equal? There was only one email at the time. This would not seem to lead to this Defamation. If one, admittedly un-liked, email is "Impulsive", what is two? Prison? Worse? For telling the truth 10? B) "Judgment: Judgment is fair [true] but continues to send emails and avoid suggestion of treatment." The gist/demand, that Plaintiff cease and desist (not the criminal Verizon Defendants) in conduct that they (correctly) see does not serve their interests as Slavemaster/Corrections/Parole Officer/Mafia/RICO, i.e. to engage in First (and other Amendments) Amendment Protected

were themselves sufficient for a formal diagnosis of "sluggish schizophrenia."

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

¹⁰ Petitioner admittedly made two *de minimis* errors as to the year, but not the month/day of two of Verizon's Burglaries in 4R.

Activity. Full Stop. C) "Suicide Risk

Factors: Impulsive or Reckless behavior"

and "Violence Risk Factors: Paranoid

delusions or perceived threat" apparently

believing, and having boxes of evidence of,

Verizon attempting to unlawfully evict and

make homeless Plaintiff must be seen as

friendly, or else be stripped of all Civil

Rights.

13. Page 5 gets interesting, to the real 1983

(and RICO) violating Malicious Medical

Malpractice/Defamation/Depravation of Rights

Under Color of Law. First Mr. Camire, LCSW

acknowledges meeting with his

friend/colleague/co-conspirator/depriver of

Civil Rights (Under Color of Law) Ms. Rossi

LCSW. To "discuss the referral [from

Verizon] information and review a copy of

the email sent by the patient (copy of said

email is in the chart for review). Ms. Rossi

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

28

indicates the patient has been a resident at the Kenmore since 1989 [true], and despite no known history of mental illness [absolutely true, admitting their concerted corruption], the patient has a long history of paranoid ideation and delusion surrounding the Kenmore and other institutions." "Patient is currently in \$70,000 of rent arrears [false] and although legal steps have been taken in order to evict the patient[yet absolutely no reason to be 'paranoid'!!] or force a judge [certainly this august Court might be interested in this] to garnish his wages [?], none have been successful." The last clause is absolutely correct, and the reason we are, again, in Court! Defendants acknowledge they have tried and failed all lawful means and now avail themselves of ongoing Predicate RICO illegal means, Under

24

25

26

27

28

has a complex delusional system involving the building staff and owners, and how they are defrauding the State of New York and the taxpayers." Mr. Camire, LCSW, on Information and Belief, not an Attorney, appears to employ "begging the question12" logical fallacy [would that itself be Delusional, i.e. Projection?], as well as performing an ex post facto cover-up/threat regarding exposing the undisputed facts delineated in the email. That makes this Public Corruption/Theft of Honest Services and Conspiracy to perform same via Intentional Medical Malpractice/Fraud/Defamation. And later "Patient was challenged on his delusions a few times during the interview and he was not responsive to intervention."

Begging the question, sometimes known by its Latin name petitio principii (meaning assuming the initial point), is a logical fallacy in which the writer or speaker assumes the statement under examination to be true. In other words, begging the question involves using a premise to support itself.

25

26

27

28

Begging the question again, apparently the "Patient" was required to state 2+2=5 and mean it, or else, see Part One, Chapter Seven of the book 1984¹³. Also mentioned is Petitioner's esteemed Uncle, Francis Broucek, M.D. a renowned Menninger trained Psychiatrist, graduate of the Topeka Institute for Psychoanalysis, former Professor of Medicine at the University of Kansas and author of several books on the subject. This Cv would seem to outweigh the alleged, or at best overworked/overused "credentials" of Mr. Camire/Ms. Rossi (on Information and Belief they earned no more than a Masters in Psychology), accredited/unaccredited? From where? Which they have maliciously employed no differently than those Soviet era

[&]quot;All **power** tends to corrupt; absolute **power corrupts** absolutely."

Psychiatrists or the PHDs at Abu Ghraib¹⁴, or Dr. Mengele or Dr. Nassar. Then Mr.

Camire incredibly contends "Patient denied legal history" after extensive disclosure that it was in fact discussed. Pure fiction and Medical Malpractice of the worst order/Defamation/Defamation per se.

Defamation/intentional Medical Malpractice continues. "and despite his delusions and bizarre behavior at times,..." more begging the question illogical intentional falsehoods/Malpractice/Defamation. And "All [who are "all"?, the Royal 'We¹⁵,?] are currently in agreement that although the patient continues to exhibit bizarre behavior at times 2/2 delusional

https://qz.com/462911/when-american-psychologists-usetheir-skills-for-torture/

¹⁵ The use of "we" instead of "I" by an individual person, as traditionally used by a sovereign. "Queen Victoria once remarked, with British understatement, "we are not amused.""

28

Disorder, ... "And the most devastating Defamation/Medical Malpractice and the reason Ms. Rossi 'swatted' the Petitioner/"patient" in the first place, and why we are here "Ms. Rossi asked specifically if there is any need to discuss the situation with his employer (the MTA) [actually the NYCTA] as the patient is a Train Conductor [false, a Train Operator]. As there is no current cause for concern of the patient harming self or others [then why the call and the deliberately false "diagnosis", to justify the visit??], there is no justification for violating the patient's confidentiality by discussing this with the MTA. Patient has been gainfully employed without incident in this position for 14 years. Ms. Rossi was encouraged, however, to continue to monitor the patient and his communications closely [emphasis

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

added] in order to determine if there are any specific threats made to self or other commentary intimating potential for self-harm." And next "Axis I: Delusional disorder" and "Dx(es) for Presnt [sic]: Delusional disorder" next "Proplem(s)::

LCSW¹⁷: Delusional Disorder, Rent Arrears" and finally, for this page "Assessment/Plan (WP): A: 52 year old WM domiciled at the

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

^{16 &}quot;Delusional disorder is one of the less common psychotic disorders, in which patients have delusions but not the other classical symptoms of schizophrenia (thought disorder, hallucinations, mood disturbance or flat affect)." So called Delusional Disorder is incredibly rare "One to 2 per cent of mental health hospitalizations and only 0.001 to 0.003 per cent of first-time psychiatric admissions are due to delusional disorder (Kendler, 1982)." This is one reason (other than additional Defamation) to accuse Plaintiff of the false prior admission. As can be seen .001 to .003 percent of first-time psychiatric admissions (or Diagnosis) would constitute one to three out of every hundred thousand psychiatric admissions, i.e. this Defamation/"diagnosis"/Malpractice is not only intentionally false and consistently contradicted throughout the false malicious 'narrative' but would be one for the medical books, if it were not.

^{17 &}quot;We", (i.e. the two LCSW's), Mr. Camire and Ms. Rossi, acting in concert/collusion to deny Plaintiff his Civil/Constitutional Rights, Under Color of Law, appear to agree with Petitioner/'Patient" that these two LCSWs are the actual sum total/cause of Patient 1663232's "problem(s)" as a statement against interest.

Kenmore with symptoms consistent with delusional disorder."

- 16. Page 8 appears to have nothing Defamatory,
 but clearly discloses that the "patient" was
 not informed of their malicious, mendacious,
 intentionally fraudulent/injurious
 false/Defamatory/Medical Malpractice
 "diagnosis". I wonder why. That is the
 reason for the tolling request under
 Disclosure Rule, this was not disclosed
 (other than to Employer).
- 17. Page 9 (of 11) A Clinical Psychiatrist,

 Madeline O'Brien, MD, acknowledges never

 seeing talking to or discussing the secret

 "diagnosis" by Mr. Camire and Ms. Rossi.

 Nevertheless she accepts their inconsistent,

 false, malicious narrative as gospel,

 doubling down on the same, sight unseen.

 "Patient sent a very psychotic lengthy

 email...." "Patient has a very systematized

delusional system..." "patient has a long history of paranoid ideation and delusions...." Wildly actionable, unconstitutional, Defamatory, Defamatory per se, Medical Malpractice, all done in secret. Who was this "diagnosis" supposed to assist? The public? Ms. Rossi/Verizon? Time for some Discovery Depositions!!

- 18. Page 10 "[petitioner] is really seeking legal interventions which would verify his delusional beliefs..." and again, after discussing numerous court actions Dr.

 O'Brien states in parroting of Mr. Camire "patient denied legal history" are they referring to criminal convictions (or arrests), for which Petitioner admittedly has none. How about the Defendants?
- 19. Page 11 of 11 again from Dr. O'Brien "Ms.

 Rossi understood that he could not be

 transferred to a Psych ER against his will

28

[must have been disappointing to adversary Ms. Rossi, acting under Color of Law], but raised the question as to whether his employer needed to be notified of his delusions --- he has worked for fourteen years without incident and he must have annual PE, random drug testing and vision screening and he is still employed. It would neither be her role or that of the MCU at this juncture to contact employer [and yet someone, presumably Ms. Rossi, did]. Patient does not at this time pose a threat of serious physical harm to himself or to others, , there is no justification for violating the patient's confidentiality by discussing with the MTA. Patient does need to be closely monitored [but presumably is "delusional" about being closely monitored? |-although patient's with his type of delusional system usually flood the courts and seek legal

20.

 vindication¹⁸[emphasis added]-patient could
escalate [unlike Verizon?] if he becomes too
stressed or he feels..."

Regarding Defamation/Malpractice in the documents (18 pages) generated by Bellevue from the sole actual medical appointment (under World Trade Center Health Program auspices and jurisdiction) on June 14, 2016, we will try to be more succinct. Page 1, "Developmental History: As per chart, reports of a psychiatric hospitalization when pt was in his early 20s." False injurious Defamation/Defamation per se/Medical Malpractice. And "Past Psychiatic[sic] History: Per records,

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

This is the actual reason for the false, secret Malpractice/Defamation, i.e. to create a logic trap. The very, correctly anticipated, act of seeking legal redress to their corrupt construction "proves" their knowingly false Defamation/Malpractice/False Claim (see again, Begging the Question!)! Given that Defendants could not succeed legally, they seek to secretly attack the credibility of Plaintiff to prevail in litigation, potentially remove on a 72 hour or 60 day hold, evict from home and terminate from job, TRIFECTA YOU WIN (and the Rule of Law/Constitution loses).

patient has 1 admission for psychosis when
he was in his 20s (that information provided
by his sister when pt was evaluated by
mobile crisis unit (MCU))." Same knowingly,
or negligently false and Defamatory
Malpractice that caused termination from
employment and (initial) denial of NYCERS
pension.

- 21. Page 2 "pt was thought to be experiencing paranoid delusions. See relevant documentation in chart." See above.
- 22. Page 3 "..., however as per chart review there appears to have been in 2014 reports of pt experiencing paranoid delusions which may impact pt's risk level overall. Risk factors include History of paranoid delusions..." See above.
- 23. Page 4 ".... As per chart, in the past pt was diagnosed with delusional disorder." Also "Disposition: Screening and results and

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

certifications were reviewed with patient and he verbalized understanding and agreement." While the second phrase may not seem defamatory, it is even more knowingly false than the first as "patient" WAS NOT

TOLD OF THE (FALSE/DEFAMATORY)

"DIAGNOSIS19"!! This 'secret' was intended,
as stated previously by Dr. O'Brien, to
shield Defendants from liability for their
knowing, baseless, mean-spirited
Malpractice, and instead of "do no harm", we
have concerted, ongoing behavior to "do
harm".

24. On page 6 it appears no Defamation or

Malpractice. Same for page 7. On page 8 "...

At that time [07/02/2014], as per chart, pt

appeared to reports[sic] paranoid

delusions..." See above.

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

¹⁹ See again 'Disclosure Rule' with regard to tolling in cases of deliberately hidden medical malpractice and/or Defamation.

25. Page 9 ".... Pt was [2014] thought to be experiencing paranoid delusions. See relevant documentation in chart." And ".... As per chart review there was a hospitalization when pt was in his 20s." and under Risk Assessment "..., however as per chart review there appeared to have been in 2014 reports of pt experiencing paranoid delusions which may impact pt's risk level overall.

History of paranoid delusions..." see above.

26. Page 10 ".... As per chart, in the past pt was diagnosed with delusional disorder." Of course, again, knowingly or negligently false Malpractice/Defamation. And again "... Disposition: screening and results and certifications were reviewed with patient and he verbalized understanding and agreement." See pg 23.

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

- 27. Page 11, 12 no malpractice/defamation. On page 13, by Dr. D Harshad Bhatt, "..., one prior psych hosp..." see above.
- Page 14 appears correct. Page 15 ".... At that time [07/02/2014], as per chart, pt appears to reports [sic] paranoid delusions..."
- 29. Page 16 "thought to be experiencing paranoid delusions [in 2014]. See relevant documentation in chart..... As per chart review there was a hospitalization when pt was in his 20s." and "MSE: poor to adequate grooming, somewhat malodorous²⁰" see above.
- Page 17 "review there appears to have been reports in pastof [sic] pt experiencing paranoid delusions..., history of paranoid delusions...chiefly d/t paranoid delusions non-bizarre, carrying dx of delusional disorder." And "As per chart, in the past pt

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

²⁰ Finally, Dr. Bhatt steps out of the defamatory repetition of Mr. Camire and contradicts his (and all others) statement on 'odor'. On Information and Belief, Dr. Bhatt has attached a colostomy bag, which may account for his incorrect defamation.

4 5

was diagnosed with delusional disorder." See above.

- 31. Finally, page 18 "Primary Axis I Dx:
 Delusional disorder" again see above.
- 'smoke alarm'²¹ went off, for no reason, at three (3) am until staff arrived at 9am. The alarm, all that time, did not go to the FDNY or, apparently the Board, and could not be turned off. It was removed, and Tenant again requested a Reasonable Accommodation, or alternatively that the issue be decided by a Judge/Jury, and not simply be reinstalled. Instead the Verizon Defendants again 'swatted' Plaintiff/Tenant and gave NYPD/FDNY a false 911 call to gain unwarranted access. When NYPD/FDNY arrived,

²¹ At the time, Tenant/Petitioner had three (3) smoke alarms in small (under 200 sf) studio apt.. Petitioner had previously requested a reasonable accommodation under ADA, to remove the dangerous, malfunctioning 'alarm' or simply place it in the hallway.

28

Tenant complied (see Adrian Schoolcraft case) but requested filing a criminal complaint against the criminal Verizon. Like all previous requests to file criminal charges against Verizon, etc., they refused and another IA complaint was registered. Thus we have a pattern of unconstitutional denial of Due Process, or Equal Protection. Defendant Verizon can call their surrogate, Under Color of Law, to perform any illegal act or swatting, but if a law abiding tenant requests the same consideration/protection, the answer is an affirmative no. This 'policy' appears precinct-wide and an apparent policy/instruction from the Commander(s) at the 13th precinct. As one can imagine, a corrupt, mafialike owner/managing agent would (and have) turn it into a prison/gulag, treating the 'tenants' as chattel (see again Dred Scott).

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

28

33.

The FDNY did nothing wrong, but are a required party as they responded to that deliberately false, malicious 911 call, and thousands of false ("nuisance") alarms for (no) smoke, endangering the public, and tenants. Verizon Defendants acknowledge paying substantial fines for these ongoing crimes. Verizon, with its numerous burglaries/thefts, in 4R and others, on a daily basis, results in violations of the Takings and Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, Due Process and Equal Protection of the 14th, Cruel and Unusual Punishment of the 8th, and, of course, The First Amendment Retaliation. See above and attached.

Ongoing contract violations. There exists an undisputed Contract Bargaining Agreement, between the parties/Defendants NYCTA and TWU Local 100 (the collective bargaining and enforcing Agent). These parties have

24

25

26

27

28

conspired via sins of omission and commission, to deprive Plaintiff of his lawful Civil Service job (considered a 'thing of value' under NYS law), wages, future wages/benefits, good name, etc.. Petitioner filed four (4) grievances with the Local/NYCTA, which were initially (putatively) supported by the Local, calling for owed two weeks of wages, overtime, sick and vacation pay (approx. 50k) which have been stolen through today without (legal) cause. Also regarding the contract violating "termination from probation" when Plaintiff was not on probation, 2016 wages, and 'Contact Differential²²'. A step I and II were held on the 2015 wage theft, with no Step II decision over a year later, and no hearings or 'steps' in the others. This

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

²² A hard fought provision in the CBA calls for 'differential' pay for covered members with substantiated, paid Injury On Duty claims, such as Plaintiff. This massive debt is unpaid through today.

28

34.

effectively ends the contract/remedy for all members/Public Employees. No hearings before the Contract Arbitrator. CUNY was made a party because Mr. Camire works there, presumably committing his specialty of Medical Malpractice/Defamation (for 'friends only?) on innocent CUNY Students, also Petitioner believes the subject building should be used and owned jointly by tenants (under Article 11) and CUNY/Baruch as faculty/graduate/married housing (there are two other dorms, for NYU and SVA, on the block). The 18 pages from 2016 were received last year on May 22, 2017 and the (more defamatory/original defamation/malpractice) 11 page 2014 'review' on August 30, 2017. Thus the need for tolling until those dates. Finally, the record shows, or will, that the Verizon Defendants (Ms. Rossi and/or others) went to Plaintiff's employer, conveying

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION

their false, conjured Defamation, in order to harm/terminate/bankrupt, in order to evict. The first part was a success (see, and Take Judicial Notice of 15-cv-1481 (EDNY)), giving NYCTA justification, in their mind to serially attack a Safety Sensitive Civil Servant operating trains full of passengers two months after the swatting. This caused an injury and the "IME" assigned to evaluate Plaintiff clearly referenced Mr. Camire/Ms. Rossi's false narrative WHILE IMMEDIATELY ORDERING PLAINTIFF BACK TO TRAIN OPERATION AFTER WITNESSING THE PRESCRIBED CONSUMPTION OF A BENZODIAZAPINE!! More dangerous fraud/malpractice/corruption. Thank you.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that the Court/Jury grant such relief as may be appropriate, including injunctive orders, compensatory damages, punitive damages, pre-judgment interest, medical costs, other costs, back wages, pain and suffering, and attorney's fees.

Dated this 21 Tst day of May, 2018

/S/Brian Burke, pro per

COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATION